

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

10/829,592 04/21/2004 7590 12/30/2005	Michael D. Goodner	P18237	6739
		EVAM	
ACT LATE TO THE		EXAMINER	
Michael A. Bernadicou BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP		GURLEY, LYNNE ANN	
		ART UNIT	DARCH AULANCE
Seventh Floor		ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard		2812	
Los Angeles, CA 90025		DATE MAILED: 12/30/2009	£

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/829,592	GOODNER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lynne A. Gurley	2812
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	6 October 2005.	
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 20-23</u> is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>	ents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pro-	<u> </u>	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	received.
		Jan Surley
		LYNNE A. GURLEY
Attachment(s)		PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER TC 2800, AU 2812
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
2) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P10-1449 of P10/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/21/05</u> .	6) Other:	—·

Application/Control Number: 10/829,592

Art Unit: 2812

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 10/6/05.

Currently, claims 1-9 and 20-23 are pending.

## Specification

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Quek et al. (US 6,252,290, dated 6/26/01 in the IDS submitted 7/21/05).
- 4. Quek shows the method as claimed in figures 1-8 and corresponding text, as forming a first via dielectric layer 12 on a substrate 10; patterning the first via dielectric layer to form a via through the first via dielectric layer 12a; forming a photosensitive trench dielectric layer 14 on the first via dielectric layer; patterning the photosensitive trench dielectric layer to form a trench

Art Unit: 2812

through the photosensitive trench dielectric layer 14a; depositing a conductive material 22 in the via and the trench; forming a top layer 42 on the photosensitive trench layer; and decomposing, at least partially, the photosensitive trench dielectric layer, decomposed material from the photosensitive trench dielectric layer passing through the top layer (figs. 5-6).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/829,592 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Quek et al. (US 6,252,290, dated 6/26/01 in the IDS submitted 7/21/05).

Quek shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the previous paragraphs.

Quek lacks anticipation only in not teaching that the decomposition comprises heating the photosensitive trench dielectric layer between 180-400 degrees; and that a conductor seed layer and cap layer are formed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have had the decomposition comprise heating the photosensitive trench dielectric layer between 180-400 degrees C; and to have formed a conductor seed layer and cap layer, in the method of Quek, with the motivation that the photosensitive trench layer is already thermally cured to about 100 degrees C to drive out solvents, which indicates that there is some thermal decomposition by evaporation of the solvent, which would only increase at temperatures of about 180; and, with the motivation that a seed layer and cap layer are conventional in interconnect fabrication, increasing reliability, adhesion and passivation in the interconnect.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-3, filed 10/6/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) s 1-9 and 20-23 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,592 Page 5

Art Unit: 2812

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Quek (US 6,252,290).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 571-272-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Lebentritt can be reached on 571-272-1873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne A. Gurley

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2812

LAG December 27, 2005